

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/599,388	09/27/2006	Isami Hamamoto	20241/0205420-US0	7913
7278 · DARBY & DA	7590 01/04/2008 RBY P.C.		EXAM	INER
P.O. BOX 770 Church Street Station			O'DELL, DAVID K	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
New York, NY	10008-0770		L	THER NOMBER
			1625	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			01/04/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/599,388	HAMAMOTO ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	David K. O'Dell	1625	
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet	vith the correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING E - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUN 136(a). In no event, however, may I will apply and will expire SIX (6) Mo te, cause the application to become	IICATION. The reply be timely filed  ONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	•
Status			•
1)	is action is non-final.  ance except for formal ma		·
Disposition of Claims			
4)  Claim(s) 1-13 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5)  Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6)  Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7)  Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8)  Claim(s) 1-13 are subject to restriction and/or	awn from consideration.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examin 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accomplished any applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct of the sheet of the shee	cepted or b) objected to drawing(s) be held in abey ction is required if the drawir	ance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  g(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority document  2. Certified copies of the priority document  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureat  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received.  Its have been received in ority documents have been au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No en received in this National Stage	
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	. 4) 🔲 Interview	v Summary (PTO-413)	
2) Notice of References Offed (* 10-032)  Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  Notice of References Offed (* 10-032)  Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  Notice of References Offed (* 10-032)  Notice of References Offed (* 10-032)	Paper N	o(s)/Mail Date  f Informal Patent Application	

Application/Control Number:

10/599,388 Art Unit: 1625

## **DETAILED ACTION**

1. This application is a 371 of PCT/JP05/06887 filed 03/30/2005 and claims priority to the following Japanese applications: JAPAN 2004-106668 filed 03/31/2004 and JAPAN 2004-374007 filed 12/24/2004.

Claims 1-13 are pending.

## 2. Election/Restrictions

Restriction is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 and 372.

This application contains the following inventions or groups of inventions which are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

In accordance with 37 CFR 1.499, applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single invention to which the claims must be restricted.

Group I, Claims 1-13 drawn to compounds and compositions having a piperidine core (i.e. where in Formula I of claim 1 n is 1 and no other rings are formed by the piperidine ring substituents). If this group is elected, a further election of a single disclosed species of compound is also required. Further restriction based on the election may be made.

Group II, Claims 1-13 drawn to compounds and compositions having an azabicylooctane core (i.e. where in Formula I of claim 1 n is 1 and a carbocyclic ring is formed via a bridging ethyl group that is either R5 and R6 or R3 and R4 taken together). If this group is elected, a further election of a single disclosed species of compound is also required. Further restriction based on the election may be made.

Group III, Claims 1-13 drawn to compounds and compositions having an azabicylononane core (i.e. where in Formula I of claim 1 n is 1 and a carbocyclic ring is formed via a bridging propyl group that is either R5 and R6 or R3 and R4 taken together). If this group is elected, a further election of a single disclosed species of compound is also required. Further restriction based on the election may be made.

Group IV, Claims 1-13 drawn to compounds and compositions having a pyrrolidine core (i.e. where in Formula I of claim 1 n is 0 and no other rings are formed by the pyrrolidine ring substituents). If this group is elected, a further election of a single disclosed species of compound is also required. Further restriction based on the election may be made.

Page 3

Group V, Claims -13 drawn to compounds and compositions having a core other than that of Groups I-IV. If this group is elected, a further election of a single disclosed species of compound is also required. Further restriction based on the election may be made.

The inventions listed as Groups I-V do not relate to a single general inventive concept under 35 USC 121 or PCT Rule 13.1 because:

PCT Rule 13.1 states that the international application shall relate to one invention only or to a group of inventions so linked as to form a single general inventive concept ("requirement of unity of invention").

PCT Rule 13.2 states that the unity of invention referred to in Rule 13.1 shall be fulfilled only when there is a technical relationship among those inventions involving one or more of the same or corresponding special technical features.

Annex B, Part 1(a), indicates that the application should relate to only one invention, of if there is more than one invention, inclusion is permitted if they are so slinked to form a single general inventive concept.

Annex B Part 1(b), indicates that "special technical features" means those technical features that as a whole define a contribution over the prior art.

Annex B Part 1(c), further defines independent and dependent claims. Unity of invention only is concerned in relation to independent claims. Dependent claims are defined as a claim that contains all the features of another claim and is in the same category as the other claim. The category of a claim refers to the classification of claims according to subject matter e.g. product, process, use, apparatus, means, etc.

Annex B Part 1(e), indicates that the permissible combinations of different categories of claims. Part 1(e)I, states that inclusion of an independent claim for a given product, an independent claim for a process specially adapted for the manufacture of the said product, and an independent claim for a use of the said product is permissible.

Annex B, Part 1(f), indicates the "Markush practice" of alternatives in a single claim. Part 1(f)I, indicates the technical relationship and the same or corresponding special technical feature is considered to be met when (A) all alternatives have a common property or activity, and (B) a common structure is present or all alternatives belong to a recognized class of chemical compounds. Further defining (B), Annex B, Part 1(f)(i-iii), the common structure must; a) occupy a large portion of their structure, or b) the common structure constitutes a structurally distinctive portion, or c) where the structures are equivalent and therefore a recognized class of chemical compounds, each member could be substituted for one another with the same intended result. That is, with a common or equivalent structure, there is an expectation relationship and the corresponding special technical feature result from a common (or equivalent) structure that is responsible for the common activity (or property). Part 1(f) iv, indicates that when all alternatives of a Markush grouping can be differently classified, it shall no, take alone, be considered justification for finding a lack of unity. Part 1(f)v, indicates that

"When dealing with alternatives, if it can be shown that at least one Markush alternative is not novel over the prior art, the question of unity of invention shall be reconsidered by the examiner"

Application/Control Number:

10/599,388 Art Unit: 1625 Page 4

In the instant case, at least one Markush alternative is not novel because prior art by WO 2002/89803 A1, (cited on the IDS) recites the compounds of the instant case. A compound from page 109 is shown below:

製造例48

$$N-[3-(3-アミジノフェニル)-2-(E)-プロペニル]-N-[3-クロ$$
  $D-4-(1-ホルムイミドイルピペリジン-4-イルオキシ)フェニル]スルファモ イル酢酸 2塩酸塩$ 

Thus the lack of a special technical feature is apparent.

3. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Please Note: Claims 4-6, 8-13 are drawn to compounds and compositions, despite the recitation of functional language "pest control agent" "insecticide", "acaricide", they are drawn to the same materials repeated in triads. Functional language as that of the instant claims carries no

Application/Control Number:

10/599,388 Art Unit: 1625

patentable weight in claims for compositions of matter see *Union Oil Co. of California v. Atlantic Richfield Co.* 54 USPQ2d 1227 where "composition claims cannot, as the appellant refiners argue, embrace only certain uses of that composition. (citing In Re Spada) Otherwise these composition claims would mutate into method claims." It is recommended that claims 4-6, 8-13 be rewritten to reflect one sets of claim groups as simple compositions without intended use. Should method claims be presented they may be considered if dependent from allowable product claims.

## Conclusion

4. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to David K. O'Dell whose telephone number is (571) 272-9071. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri 7:30 A.M.-5:00 P.M EST.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's Primary examiner, Rita Desai can be reached on (571)272-0684. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

D.K.O.

RY EXAMINER